

Corres. and Mail  
**BOX AF**

*ZW*  
*AF*



**REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116  
EXPEDITED PROCEDURE  
GROUP 2675  
PATENT APPLICATION**

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re application of

Docket No: Q53397

Ken-ichi TAKATORI, et al.

Appln. No.: 09/256,346

Group Art Unit: 2675

Confirmation No.: 9700

Examiner: Alecia Diane NELSON

Filed: February 24, 1999

For: LIQUID CRYSTAL DISPLAY APPARATUS AND METHOD OF DRIVING THE  
SAME

**REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116**

**MAIL STOP AF**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the final Office Action dated November 29, 2004, please consider the remarks as submitted herewith on the accompanying pages.

**REMARKS**

Claims 1-19 are pending in the application. Applicant respectfully submits that the pending claims define patentable subject matter.

Claim 14 is objected to under 37 C.F.R. § 1.75(c) as allegedly being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim should refer to other claims in the alternative only.

However, Applicant respectfully submits that the objection is improper since claim 14 refers to claims 10 and 11 in the alternative (i.e., "any one of Claims 10 and 11"). See MPEP